UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,547	04/28/2006	Ronaldo De Moreira Horta	P71245US0	2261
	7590 10/20/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH	STREET N.W.	WALCK, BRIAN D		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/577,547	HORTA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian Walck	4181					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply	care on the cover enect with the c	errespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	oril 2006						
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 April 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.						
<del>'=</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
olooog in addordance with the practice and in	x parte Quayre, 1000 0.b. 11, 40	20.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
b) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/09/2006</u> . 6) ☐ Other:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Application/Control Number: 10/577,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 4181

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Abstract

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is 217 words, which is longer than the acceptable limit of 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-12 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a

manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Some examples of statements that need to be clarified in claim 1 include:

- a. "the temperature range" lacks antecedent basis and should be changed to
   "a temperature range"
- b. "during 30 minutes to one hour" is indefinite, examiner suggests changing this to "for a duration of 30 minutes to one hour" (appropriate correction should be applied to all instances of similar statements in the claims)
- c. "promoting less needed time for the next reduction step" is indefinite and functional language and the statement "the next reduction step" lacks antecedent basis
- d. "the calcinated product" lacks antecedent basis
- e. "600 to 800 Gauss magnetic field" is indefinite as to what it is referring to
- f. "in drum or roll separators and rare-earth permanent magnet, 16000 to 20000 Gauss magnetic field" is indefinite
- g. "temperature between 90 °C and 107 °C" is indefinite
- h. "solubilizing the radionuclide-rich vitreous phase through the action of generate or added ion fluoride action (F-)" is functional language.

Similar indefinite statements are prevalent throughout the claims, making the claims as a whole unclear and difficult to understand.

5. The examiner recommends that the claims should be rewritten in a clear and concise manner with particular attention paid to ensuring that no terms lack antecedent

Application/Control Number: 10/577,547 Page 4

Art Unit: 4181

basis and that there are explicit statements of what all numerical ranges refer to. The examiner also recommends that claim 1 be rewritten in the form of an itemized list for additional clarity and ease of reading, for example:

A process to obtain titanium concentrates with high contents of TiO<sub>2</sub> and low contents of radionuclide elements from anatase mechanical concentrates comprising the steps of:

- a) step 1;
- b) step 2;
- c) step 3; etc.
- 6. Additionally, the preamble of each claim ("A PROCESS TO OBTAIN TITANIUM CONCENTRATES WITH HIGH CONTENTS OF TiO<sub>2</sub> AND LOW CONTENTS OF RADIONUCLIDE ELEMENTS FROM ANATASE MECHANICAL CONCENTRATES") should not be capitalized and should not be in quotes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Walck whose telephone number is (571)270-5905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8 AM-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571)272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,547 Page 5

Art Unit: 4181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 10, 2008

/Vickie Kim/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4181